

Essay 1 Notes: Analytic Discussion (content)

Thesis (analytic, also “arguable”)

Assertion of interpretation; concise statement of analysis (overall essay discussion).

* Analytic Thesis must *say something about*, rather than simply *describe* the text.

tip: avoid *opinion-based* or *reactive* descriptions (i.e. reader’s emotional response)

- instead, phrase how the text *functions* instead of what it “is” or what it “means.”

For example, beyond saying *what* a text expresses, discuss *how* it expresses and the *effects*,
by focusing on its literary techniques and “textual” aspects (see Barthes).

tip: remember, **not** author’s *intended* meaning or effects on audience; not *deciphering* “hidden meaning.”

Barthes: “Everything is to be disentangled and yet nothing deciphered” (*IMT* 147).

Analytic strategy / approach:

- “close reading” using **class approach** (*discourse, myth, text*)

- review class “experiment” and “method,” especially Barthes’ concepts.

Reminder: we have been discussing **Discourse** in terms of both **modes of thinking** and **expression**.

Review our key concepts and oppositions, to keep in mind when analyzing:

Myth, homogeneous discourse, stereotype, cliché, “hidden meaning”

Antidote, paradox, network, metonym, plurality

Review Barthes’ essays in *Image-Music-Text*:

- as well as my **notes** (PDFs on blog)

“Death of the Author” ; “From Work to Text” ; “Change the Object Itself”

- *tip*: Interpretation *becomes* analytic **thesis** statement (rephrased)

(see below)

Composition Notes:

- Do not “narrate”** or include your interpretive **process** (prior to essay; *implicit*)
- **entire** essay is *support* discussion of *analytic* thesis - when revising: **cut** any element not supportive
 - **exclude** any mention; *e.g.* “from Barthes, I can see that...” *e.g.* “I analyze this figure as...”
 - instead, **switch** perspective (of phrasing) from your reading to the text’s functioning:
(use *verbs*) “This example {*demonstrates, illustrates, challenges/subverts, reinforces, diverges, disrupts*}”

Intrinsic literary analysis and support (“close reading”) - *exclusively intrinsic, except Barthes.*

- **Do not consult** or use any secondary (“outside”) sources, scholarly or otherwise.

* **Note:** websites like Wikipedia and Sparknotes **are not acceptable** “sources” for college classes. (**Do not** consult these!)

- Exclude **Biographical, Historical, and Inter-textual** information (does not appear in text)
- Exclude “authorial intention” fallacy, as well as (your) emotional response.
- Assume reader’s (my) familiarity with text - *do not summarize!* (discuss = “talk about”)

Analysis / Thesis Support

Provide textual “evidence” of your interpretation

(*i.e.* another reader could recognize your analysis “at work” in the literary selection)

Support all analysis, commentary, insights, etc. with effective example(s).

- Use to **illustrate** your points; do not present example first and then comment.

tip: remember “subsequent” and “subordinate” for organization.

- Use both direct **quotations** and paraphrases, using MLA style for **citations**. (*Essential / required*)